



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,118	02/25/2004	Osamu Kimura	1075.1253	1980
21171 7590 03/18/2009 STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
EXAMINER				
ELAND, SHAWN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2188				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/18/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/785,118

**Applicant(s)**

KIMURA ET AL.

**Examiner**

SHAWN ELAND

**Art Unit**

2188

**Period for Reply** -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 9-13, 15-18, 23-27 and 29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 9-13, 15-18, 23-27 and 29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/02/08.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/29/08 has been entered.

#### ***Status of Claims***

Claims 1 – 4, 9 – 13, 15 – 18, 23 – 27, & 29 are pending in the Application.

Claims 1, 13, 15, 27, & 29 have been amended.

Claims 5 – 8, 14, 19 – 22, 28, & 30 – 33 are cancelled.

Claims 1 – 4, 9 – 13, 15 – 18, 23 – 27, & 29 are rejected.

#### ***Response to Amendments***

Applicant's amendments and arguments filed on 12/02/08 in response to Office action filed 07/02/08 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive. Therefore, the rejections made in the previous Office action are maintained and restated below, with changes needed to address the amendments.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 13, 15, 27, & 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Weber* (US Patent 5,937,174) in view of *Hauck* et al. (US PG Publication 2003/0158999 A1), hereinafter *Hauck*.

As for claims 13 & 27, Weber teaches a storage control apparatus placed between a disk unit and a host for controlling access to said disk unit by said host, said storage control apparatus comprising:

a disk interface module for controlling an interface to said disk unit (Fig. 2, element 138.1);

a host interface module for controlling an interface to said host (Fig. 2, combination of elements 204 and 206);

Weber further teaches:

a bridge module connected through an interface bus to said disk interface modules, without connecting through any other bridge modules, said host interface module and said management modules for making connections among said disk interface module (Fig. 2, element 208 – the connections between the memory and the host are busses 252 and 250);

said host interface module and said management modules for data transfer among said modules, said host interface module writing data to be written, which is received from said host, through said bridge module into cache memories of two of said plurality of management modules (referring again to Fig. 2, the host can communicate with the storage module (element 104, which contains multiple modules or drives) via the host interface, the bridge and the disk interfaces (combination of elements 204 and 206, 208 and 138.1 respectively)) - col. 7, line 47 through col. 8, lines 39. It is worthy to note that even though Weber teaches storing in the disks rather than the cache, an obvious variation of Weber's apparatus would include Hauck's storage system, as will be discussed *infra*.

Though Weber teaches multiple management modules (disks (106) within the disk storage system (104)), he fails to teach said modules containing cache used to mirror data.

Hauck however teaches an apparatus for maintaining cache coherency in a storage system, which includes a storage system (Fig. 1, elements 110, 112 ... 118, 120, 130 and 160) including a plurality of control units (Fig. 1, element 110, 112, ... 118). Referring to Fig. 2, each control unit (i.e. controller) contains a Read Write Cache Area, and a Cache Copy Area – paragraph 0039, all lines. Since Hauck's controllers inherently controller access to the storage system, they assert at least some control over the control system.

Weber additionally fails to teach the management modules as containing cache with the ability to mirror data, however Hauck teaches each of said management modules including management means (each controller is used to manage read/write functions to the cache) for managing information on the management module which is in mirror relation to this management module (referring to Fig. 2, Host Write #1 data (230) is written to controller 2 (i.e.

mirrored), and likewise Host Write #2 data (270) is mirrored to controller 1 – paragraph 0039-0040 all lines). Hauck additionally teaches managing the association between a master area address in said cache memory in this management module and a mirror area address in said cache memory of the management module being in the mirror relation to this management module (referring to Fig. 4, a master area for each cache is maintained (Read/Write/Copy Cache)). The controllers maintain cache coherency by transmitting and receiving metadata, which comprise a bit map and cache identifier. These data allows the controllers to maintain their respective hash tables (Fig. 4, elements 490 and 495) which allows the controllers to maintain where cache lines are present in the cache area, and also maintain a free list of mirror locations (paragraphs 0045-0048, all lines).

Hauck additionally teaches in a case in which a capacity of a master area of said cache memory of the one second module is full when data read out from said disk unit through said disk interface module and said bridge module is temporarily preserved in the cache memory of the one second management module, the one second management module preserves the readout data in a mirror area of said cache memory of the other second management module, which is in the mirror relation to this management module, on the basis of a situation of management by said management means (Hauck discusses the system's ability to preserve data by reading out the data from a survivor controller (referring to Fig. 7, element 710) and reading into a replacement controller (730) to preserve data that was stored in the failed controller (720). This process takes place in case of a controller failure, or if a large ownership of data is shouldered by the controller (i.e. cache becomes full) – paragraph 0054-0056, all lines).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for Weber to further include Hauck's apparatus for maintaining cache coherency in his own system for RAID storage. By doing so, Weber would have a solution to the need for data stored in a storage device to be accessed redundantly through an alternative device controller in the event that a controller fails (paragraph 0008, all lines as taught by Hauck). Furthermore, Hauck's system would have a far more efficient system by providing a means for minimizing the number of messages required to manage a coherent cache, and eliminate the need to flush data to backing disks as taught in paragraph 0019, all lines.

As for claims 1, 15, and 29, Weber teaches a storage control apparatus placed between a disk unit and a host for controlling access to said disk unit by said host, said storage control apparatus comprising:

a disk interface module for controlling an interface to said disk unit (Fig. 2, element 138.1);

a host interface module for controlling an interface to said host (Fig. 2, the combination of elements 204 and 206);

Weber further teaches:

a bridge module connected through an interface bus to said disk interface module without connecting through any other bridge modules, said host interface module and said management modules for making connections among said disk interface module, said host interface module and said management modules for data transfer among said

modules (Fig. 2, element 208 – the connections between the memory and the host are busses 252 and 250),

said bridge module including:

address production means for analyzing said addressing information, which is received together with said data to be written from said host interface module, to produce two transferred-to addresses for designation of said two management modules having said cache memories in which said data is to be actually written and to produce written-in addresses in said cache memories based on the master area address and the mirror area address (col. 8, lines 20-39) – the bridge unit works in conjunction with the host interface and the memory controller. The bridge unit receives data and address from the host interface and memory controller in order to communicate with (i.e. perform memory access functions on) the memory subsystem. The interface and memory controller help to permit the bridge to get the correct data to the correct locations on the disks within the subsystem. Note data, commands and addressing information must be sent through the bus bridge (208) to reach the disks. In other words, the addressing information is "produced" by the module before it reaches the memory; and

data transfer control means for controlling data transfer from said bridge module to said management modules so that, after said data is transferred to the two management modules corresponding to said two transferred-to addresses, said data is written at said written-in address in said cache memory of each of the two management modules (Fig. 2, the host (108) can write and read data to and from the storage system via the host interface (the combination of 204 and 206) to the bridge (208), through the device



interface (138.1) – col. 7, line 47 through col. 8, lines 39). Again, it is worthy to note that even though Weber teaches storing in the disks rather than the cache, an obvious variation of Weber's apparatus would include Hauck's storage system, as per the discussion *supra* (per claims 13 and 27). When the host writes to the storage system, the data is mirrored in Hauck's system such that at least two addresses (one for each controller's cache) are written-in to.

Weber additionally teaches one of the two management modules as including management means for managing information on the management module which is in mirror relation to the other management module and for managing the association between a master area address in said cache memory of the one second management module and a mirror area address in said cache memory of the other second management module being in the mirror relation to this management module - referring to Fig. 2, host write 1 data (230) is written to controller 2 (i.e. mirrored), and likewise host write data 2 (270) is mirrored to controller 1 – paragraph 0039-0040 all lines. Additionally, Weber teaches (referring to Fig. 4) a master area for each cache is maintained (Read/Write/Copy Cache). The controllers maintain cache coherency by transmitting and receiving metadata, which comprise a bit map and cache identifier. These data allows the controllers to maintain their respective hash tables (Fig. 4, elements 490 and 495) which allows the controllers to maintain where cache lines are present in the cache area, and also maintain a free list of mirror locations (paragraphs 0045-0048, all lines).

Though Weber teaches multiple management modules (disks (106) within the disk storage system (104)), he fails to teach said modules containing cache used to mirror data.

Hauck however teaches an apparatus for maintaining cache coherency in a storage system, which includes a storage system (Fig. 1, elements 110, 112 ... 118, 120, 130 and 160) including a plurality of control units (Fig. 1, element 110, 112, ... 118). Referring to Fig. 2, each control unit (i.e. controller) contains a cache area (270), and a cache copy area (280) – paragraph 0039, all lines. Since Hauck's controllers inherently controller access to the storage system, they assert at least some control over the control system.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for Weber to further include Hauck's apparatus for maintaining cache coherency in his own system for RAID storage. By doing so, Weber would have a solution to the need for data stored in a storage device to be accessed redundantly through an alternative device controller in the event that a controller fails (paragraph 0008, all lines as taught by Hauck). Furthermore, Hauck's system would have a far more efficient system by providing a means for minimizing the number of messages required to manage a coherent cache, and eliminate the need to flush data to backing disks as taught in paragraph 0019, all lines.

Claims 2-4, 9-12, 16-18 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of *Weber* (US Patent 5,937,174), Hauck (US PG Publication 2003/0158999 A1), and *Avraham* (US PG Publication 2004/0103238 A1) as applied to claims 1 and 15 above respectively, and in further view of *Hashimoto* et al. (US PG Publication 2002/0016898 A1), hereinafter *Hashimoto*.

As for claims 2-3 and 16-17, though the combined teaches of Weber, Hauck and Avraham teach all the limitations of claim 1 and 15 above, they fails to teach the limitations of

claims 2 and 3. Hashimoto further teaches designating, in said addressing information, a page address in said cache memory of each of said management modules and an offset address in a page designated by said page address, as said written-in address for said data in said cache memory, and specific information for specifying said two management modules having said cache memories in which said data is to be actually written, as said two transferred-to addresses for said data (Hashimoto discusses address conversion circuitry for both the first and second addresses. The address conversion circuitry uses the generated address and an offset (inherent for the conversion to take place) to generate appropriate addresses, in order to access the memories, paragraph 0019-0020, all lines).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for Weber to further incorporate Hashimoto's host interface device into his own memory structure for high data bandwidth RAID applications. By doing so, Weber would have a more efficient means of interfacing from his host to bridge unit, which includes reducing the power consumption caused by excessive signal transition on the address bus as taught by Hashimoto in paragraphs 0013 and 0017, all lines.

As for claims 4 and 18, Weber teaches interface bus is a PCI (Peripheral Component Interconnect) bus, and numbers for specifying said PCI bus for said two management modules are designated as said specific information (col. 8, lines 20-39).

It is worthy to note that since Weber only teaches one bus line, the addresses generated by Hashimoto could only refer to the one address bus that is used to transfer the data specified by the generated addresses.

*As for claims 9-12 and 23-26*, Hauck teaches a case in which a capacity of a master area of said cache memory is full when data read out from said disk unit through said disk interface module and said bridge module is temporarily preserved in the cache memory, each of said management modules preserves the readout data in a mirror area of said cache memory of the management module, which is in the mirror relation to this management module, on the basis of a situation of management by said management means (Hauck discusses the system's ability to preserve data by reading out the data from a survivor controller (referring to Fig. 7, element 710) and reading into a replacement controller (730) to preserve data that was stored in the failed controller (720). This process takes place in case of a controller failure, or if a large ownership of data is shouldered by the controller (i.e. cache becomes full) – paragraph 0054-0056, all lines).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for Weber to further include Hauck's apparatus for maintaining cache coherency in his own system for RAID storage. By doing so, Weber would have a solution to the need for data stored in a storage device to be accessed redundantly through an alternative device controller in the event that a controller fails (paragraph 0008, all lines as taught by Hauck). Furthermore, Hauck's system would have a far more efficient system by providing a means for minimizing the number of messages required to manage a coherent cache, and eliminate the need to flush data to backing disks as taught in paragraph 0019, all lines.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed 12/02/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues *none of the prior art cites the features of the first and second modules of claim 1*. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

***Examiner's Information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn Eland whose telephone number is (571) 270-1029. The examiner can normally be reached on MO - TH, & every other FR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung Sough can be reached on (571) 272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jasmine Song/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2188  
03/16/2009

/Shawn Eland/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2188  
3/18/2009